ISSN 3060-5091 (Online)
Farg'ona metodika maktabi – Ферганская методическая школа – Fergana methodical school
2026-yil 3-son.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21397905

Improving the application of innovative and simulation-based methods in urology education

Sayfetdinov Sanjarbek Ikramiddin ugli –
Independent Researcher at the Department of Urology,
Andijan State Medical Institute
E-mail: sayfiddinovoff@gmail.com
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0001-0020-2390-3871

Annotation. *Urology education requires the development of theoretical knowledge, clinical reasoning, procedural competence, communication skills, and the ability to make safe decisions in complex clinical situations. Traditional teaching based primarily on lectures, observation, and direct participation in patient care does not always provide learners with equal exposure to essential procedures or sufficient opportunities for repeated practice. Simulation-based education offers a safe and controlled environment in which students and residents can develop technical and non-technical skills without exposing patients to preventable risks. This article examines opportunities for improving the use of innovative and simulation-based methods in urology education through a qualitative review of relevant scientific literature. The reviewed evidence indicates that anatomical models, task trainers, virtual reality systems, standardized patients, scenario-based simulations, digital learning platforms, and competency-based assessment can improve procedural performance, clinical confidence, teamwork, and decision-making. Simulation is particularly valuable for teaching urinary catheterization, cystoscopy, ureteroscopy, transurethral procedures, ultrasonography, laparoscopy, robotic surgery, and the management of urological emergencies. However, its effectiveness depends on clearly defined learning outcomes, deliberate practice, qualified instructors, structured feedback, valid assessment tools, and integration with clinical experience. The article proposes a progressive educational model that combines theoretical preparation, simulation, reflective debriefing, competency assessment, and supervised clinical practice. It concludes that simulation should not replace bedside education but should be systematically incorporated into the urology curriculum as a patient-safe bridge between theoretical knowledge and independent clinical performance.*

Keywords: *urology education, simulation-based training, innovative teaching, clinical competence, virtual reality, procedural skills, medical education.*

Совершенствование применения инновационных и симуляционных методов в обучении урологии

Аннотация. *Обучение урологии требует развития теоретических знаний, клинического мышления, процедурной компетентности, коммуникативных навыков и способности принимать безопасные решения в сложных клинических ситуациях.*

Традиционное обучение, основанное преимущественно на лекциях, наблюдении и непосредственном участии в оказании медицинской помощи пациентам, не всегда обеспечивает обучающимся равные возможности для освоения необходимых процедур или достаточные условия для многократной практики. Симуляционное обучение предоставляет безопасную и контролируемую среду, в которой студенты и ординаторы могут развивать технические и нетехнические навыки без подвергания пациентов предотвратимым рискам. В данной статье рассматриваются возможности совершенствования применения инновационных и симуляционных методов в обучении урологии посредством качественного анализа соответствующей научной литературы. Анализ изученных источников показывает, что анатомические модели, тренажёры для отработки отдельных навыков, системы виртуальной реальности, стандартизированные пациенты, сценарные симуляции, цифровые образовательные платформы и оценивание на основе компетенций способны улучшить выполнение процедур, клиническую уверенность, командную работу и процесс принятия решений. Симуляция особенно эффективна при обучении катетеризации мочевых путей, цистоскопии, уретероскопии, трансуретральным вмешательствам, ультразвуковому исследованию, лапароскопии, роботизированной хирургии и оказанию помощи при урологических неотложных состояниях. Однако её эффективность зависит от чётко определённых результатов обучения, целенаправленной практики, квалифицированных преподавателей, структурированной обратной связи, валидных инструментов оценивания и интеграции с клиническим опытом. В статье предлагается прогрессивная образовательная модель, объединяющая теоретическую подготовку, симуляционное обучение, рефлексивный разбор, оценивание компетенций и клиническую практику под наблюдением. Сделан вывод о том, что симуляция не должна заменять обучение у постели пациента, но должна системно интегрироваться в учебную программу по урологии как безопасный для пациента мост между теоретическими знаниями и самостоятельной клинической деятельностью.

Ключевые слова: *обучение урологии, симуляционное обучение, инновационное обучение, клиническая компетентность, виртуальная реальность, процедурные навыки, медицинское образование.*

Urologiya ta'limida innovatsion va simulyatsiyaga asoslangan usullardan foydalanishni takomillashtirish

Annotatsiya. *Urologiya ta'limi nazariy bilimlar, klinik fikrlash, muolajalarni bajarish kompetensiyasi, kommunikativ ko'nikmalar hamda murakkab klinik vaziyatlarda xavfsiz qarorlar qabul qilish qobiliyatini rivojlantirishni talab etadi. Asosan ma'ruzalar, kuzatish va bemorlarga tibbiy yordam ko'rsatishda bevosita ishtirok etishga asoslangan an'anaviy ta'lim har doim ham ta'lim oluvchilarga muhim muolajalarni o'zlashtirish uchun teng imkoniyat yoki takroriy amaliyot uchun yetarli sharoit yaratmaydi. Simulyatsiyaga asoslangan ta'lim talabalar va rezidentlarga bemorlarni oldini olish mumkin bo'lgan xavflarga duchor qilmasdan, texnik va no-texnik ko'nikmalarni rivojlantirish imkonini beruvchi xavfsiz va nazorat qilinadigan muhitni taqdim etadi. Mazkur maqolada tegishli ilmiy adabiyotlarni sifat jihatidan tahlil qilish asosida urologiya ta'limida innovatsion va simulyatsiyaga asoslangan usullardan foydalanishni takomillashtirish imkoniyatlari ko'rib chiqiladi. O'rganilgan ilmiy manbalar tahlili anatomik modellar, muayyan ko'nikmalarni rivojlantirish trenajyorlari, virtual reallik tizimlari, standartlashtirilgan bemorlar, ssenariy asosidagi simulyatsiyalar, raqamli* *ta'lim platformalari va kompetensiyaga asoslangan baholash muolajalarni bajarish samaradorligi, klinik ishonch, jamoaviy ish va qaror qabul qilish jarayonlarini yaxshilashi mumkinligini ko'rsatadi. Simulyatsiya siydik kateterizatsiyasi, sistoskopiya, ureteroskopiya, transuretral muolajalar, ultratovush tekshiruvi, laparoskopiya, robotlashtirilgan jarrohlik hamda urologik shoshilinch holatlarni boshqarishni o'rgatishda ayniqsa muhim ahamiyatga ega. Biroq uning samaradorligi aniq belgilangan ta'lim natijalari, maqsadli amaliyot, malakali o'qituvchilar, tizimli fikr-mulohaza, valid baholash vositalari va klinik tajriba bilan integratsiyaga bog'liq. Maqolada nazariy tayyorgarlik, simulyatsiya, refleksiv muhokama, kompetensiyani baholash hamda nazorat ostidagi klinik amaliyotni birlashtiruvchi bosqichma-bosqich ta'lim modeli taklif etiladi. Simulyatsiya bemor yonidagi ta'limni almashtirmasligi, balki nazariy bilimlar va mustaqil klinik faoliyat o'rtasida bemor xavfsizligini ta'minlovchi ko'prik sifatida urologiya o'quv dasturiga tizimli ravishda integratsiya qilinishi lozim, degan xulosaga kelinadi.*

Kalit so'zlar: *urologiya ta'limi, simulyatsiyaga asoslangan ta'lim, innovatsion ta'lim, klinik kompetentlik, virtual reallik, muolajaviy ko'nikmalar, tibbiy ta'lim.*

INTRODUCTION

Urology is a clinically and technically demanding medical specialty. Its practice includes patient assessment, diagnostic interpretation, endoscopic procedures, ultrasonography, emergency management, open surgery, laparoscopy, and robot-assisted surgery. Consequently, effective urology education must develop both theoretical knowledge and a broad range of practical competencies. Traditional medical education has commonly relied on lectures, observation of experienced clinicians, bedside teaching, and supervised participation in patient care. Although these methods remain essential, they have several limitations. The availability of appropriate patients and clinical cases is unpredictable, operating-room time is limited, and learners may have unequal exposure to important procedures. Ethical and patient-safety considerations also restrict the number of attempts that inexperienced trainees can perform during real clinical care. The traditional principle of "see one, do one, teach one" is increasingly considered insufficient for complex or high-risk procedures. Contemporary medical education emphasizes deliberate practice, competency-based progression, objective assessment, and patient safety. Learners should ideally acquire basic procedural skills in a controlled environment before performing them on patients. Simulation-based education provides such an environment. It allows learners to repeat procedures, make mistakes, receive feedback, and improve performance without causing direct harm. Simulation may involve simple anatomical models, part-task trainers, cadaveric or animal models, standardized patients, virtual reality systems, computer-based clinical cases, high-fidelity mannequins, and complete team scenarios.

Urology is particularly suitable for simulation because many of its procedures depend on hand–eye coordination, instrument handling, spatial orientation, endoscopic visualization, and precise decision-making. Procedures such as urethral catheterization, cystoscopy, ureteroscopy, transurethral resection, laparoscopic suturing, and robotic surgery can be reproduced through physical or virtual models. A structured simulation curriculum can improve knowledge and learner confidence. A simulation-based curriculum developed for urology residents incorporated interactive learning experiences and was associated with positive changes in trainees' confidence and knowledge [1]. Broader curriculum reviews have similarly recommended that simulation be integrated into urological training rather than offered only as an optional activity [2, 3]. The value of simulation extends beyond technical performance. Urological care also requires communication, teamwork, situational awareness, leadership, informed consent, and effective management of complications. Scenario-based simulation and standardized patients can be used to develop these non-technical competencies. The aim of this article is to examine innovative and simulation-based approaches to teaching urology and to propose practical measures for improving their integration into undergraduate and postgraduate medical education.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Simulation has developed from the use of basic physical models to highly interactive digital systems. Low-fidelity models reproduce selected components of a procedure and are often inexpensive, portable, and suitable for repeated practice. Examples include catheterization trainers, knot-tying boards, laparoscopic box trainers, and models for suprapubic catheter placement. High-fidelity simulation attempts to reproduce clinical or operative conditions more realistically. It may provide visual, tactile, physiological, and procedural responses. Virtual reality endourological simulators can imitate cystoscopy, ureteroscopy, and transurethral procedures while recording completion time, instrument movement, errors, tissue trauma, and other performance indicators. An early high-level virtual reality system for endourological training demonstrated that computer-based simulation could provide realistic visual and haptic experiences, multiple virtual cases, and automated instructional support [4]. Subsequent validation studies have examined whether virtual reality simulators can distinguish between different levels of expertise and provide meaningful assessment of procedural competence [5]. Simulation has also become important in laparoscopic and robot-assisted surgery. These procedures require depth perception, bimanual coordination, camera control, suturing, and adaptation to an indirect operative field. Learners can acquire elementary psychomotor skills on box trainers or virtual platforms before participating in live surgery.

A recent review of simulation in robot-assisted urological surgery emphasized the increasing need for standardized, reproducible training pathways that reduce dependence on learning exclusively in the operating room [6]. Multi-institutional evidence has also suggested that some virtual reality robotic skills are associated with performance during live robot-assisted surgery, supporting the educational relevance of simulation [7]. Simulation-based education should not be defined solely by equipment. An expensive simulator does not automatically produce effective learning. Educational outcomes depend on curriculum design, faculty involvement, learner preparation, practice frequency, feedback, assessment, and progression standards. A framework for integrating simulation into urology training recommended incorporating technical and non-technical simulation throughout the curriculum rather than limiting it to isolated courses [2]. This approach is consistent with competency-based medical education, in which progression is determined by demonstrated ability rather than time spent in training. Competency-based simulation requires explicit performance standards. Learners should continue practicing until they achieve a predefined level of proficiency. A recent systematic review across procedural medical education found that competency-based simulation produced stronger skill outcomes than simulation without competency-based progression [8]. In urology, competency-based approaches have been applied to ultrasonography and other practical skills. A proposed ultrasound assessment model used defined objectives and direct faculty evaluation to determine residents' ability to perform relevant urological imaging tasks [9]. Such approaches demonstrate how simulation can be linked to observable clinical competencies.

Scenario simulation can additionally support clinical reasoning. Learners may manage acute urinary retention, testicular torsion, priapism, urosepsis, renal colic, postoperative bleeding, catheter complications, or deteriorating patients. These scenarios require assessment, prioritization, communication, treatment selection, and teamwork. A study using scenario-based teaching combined with Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise and Direct Observation of Procedural Skills instruments examined structured assessment in urology clinical training [10]. This illustrates the potential value of integrating simulation with validated workplace-oriented assessment methods.

Innovative urology education also includes online modules, video demonstrations, mobile assessment tools, three-dimensional models, augmented reality, virtual reality, and artificial intelligence. Digital learning allows students to prepare before simulation and review procedures after training. The American Urological Association currently combines virtual didactic education with hands-on simulation in areas such as catheterization, bladder ultrasound, endoscopy, ultrasonography, priapism, and communication [11]. Artificial intelligence may support automated performance analysis by identifying instrument movement, procedural errors, and patterns associated with expertise. It may also provide adaptive feedback or generate interactive clinical cases. However, AI-based assessment requires careful validation, transparency, data protection, and continued human supervision [12].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This article employed a qualitative literature-review design. Peer-reviewed studies, systematic reviews, educational frameworks, and professional training resources concerning simulation and innovation in urology education were examined. The selected literature addressed undergraduate education, residency training, technical skills, non-technical competencies, endourology, ultrasonography, laparoscopy, robotic surgery, virtual reality, competency-based assessment, and curriculum design. Sources were selected according to their relevance to the teaching and assessment of urological knowledge or skills. Priority was given to research describing curriculum implementation, simulation validity, learner outcomes, assessment methods, or practical recommendations for integrating simulation into medical education. The selected publications were analyzed thematically. The principal themes included simulation modalities, procedural skill acquisition, clinical reasoning, communication, feedback, assessment, curriculum integration, faculty development, accessibility, patient safety, and barriers to implementation. As this study was based on published literature, no patients or learners were recruited and no personal data were collected.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The review indicates that simulation can improve the acquisition of basic urological procedures. Urinary catheterization, sterile preparation, instrument recognition, bladder scanning, and basic endoscopic orientation can be practiced repeatedly before learners work with patients. Repetition is essential because procedural knowledge cannot be developed through observation alone. Learners must coordinate cognitive understanding with physical actions. Simulation allows them to repeat each step until the sequence becomes accurate, efficient, and safe. Low-fidelity models are particularly useful during early training. They are less expensive than advanced simulators and can be used by large numbers of students. A catheterization model, for example, can support practice in patient preparation, aseptic technique, equipment selection, catheter insertion, balloon inflation, and recognition of common errors. Virtual reality systems offer additional advantages for endourological training. They can provide standardized cases, automatic performance data, and exposure to anatomical variation. A learner can repeat a cystoscopy or ureteroscopy scenario without requiring consumable materials or access to an operating room.

Virtual reality should nevertheless be evaluated according to educational value rather than novelty. Important indicators include realism, construct validity, transfer of training, usability, cost, and alignment with curriculum outcomes. Simulator scores should not be treated as equivalent to clinical competence unless a relationship with real performance has been demonstrated. Simulation is also valuable for transurethral procedures. These operations require orientation within a limited visual field, safe instrument movement, recognition of anatomical landmarks, control of bleeding, and awareness of complications. A systematic review of simulation for transurethral resection of bladder tumors identified several physical, animal, and virtual models with educational potential, while also demonstrating variation in validation quality [13].

Laparoscopic simulation can develop camera navigation, depth perception, object transfer, cutting, dissection, knot tying, and intracorporeal suturing. A structured laparoscopic training program can shift the early learning curve away from patients and into a skills laboratory [14]. Robotic simulation provides practice in console control, camera management, clutching, instrument manipulation, energy use, needle handling, and suturing. Since access to surgical robots is expensive and operating-room opportunities are limited, virtual simulation may allow learners to develop foundational skills before clinical participation. Simulation also supports diagnostic training. Urological ultrasonography requires image acquisition, anatomical recognition, probe control, and interpretation. Virtual ultrasound and task-based assessment models can allow learners to practice scanning techniques and demonstrate competence before unsupervised use [9]. Clinical scenarios can improve decision-making in urological emergencies. A learner managing acute urinary retention must obtain a focused history, assess contraindications, select equipment, perform catheterization safely, recognize unsuccessful insertion, and decide when senior or specialist assistance is required. A priapism scenario may require rapid assessment, pain management, explanation of urgency, aspiration technique, medication preparation, monitoring, and communication with the patient. Simulation can integrate these actions into one structured exercise. Scenario-based teaching is especially effective when learners experience realistic time pressure and uncertainty. However, psychological safety must be preserved. Learners should understand that the purpose of simulation is development, not humiliation or punishment. Standardized patients can support communication training. Urology frequently involves sensitive topics such as sexual function, urinary incontinence, infertility, genital examination, malignancy, and end-of-life decisions. Learners need opportunities to practice respectful history-taking, informed consent, shared decision-making, and delivery of difficult information. Simulation can also improve teamwork. Urological emergencies and operative complications require coordination among physicians, nurses, anesthetists, technicians, and other professionals. Interprofessional simulation can clarify roles, improve closed-loop communication, and identify system-level weaknesses.

The debriefing phase is one of the most important components of simulation. Without structured reflection, a realistic scenario may remain only an experience. Debriefing helps learners analyze what happened, why decisions were made, which actions were effective, and what should change during future practice. Effective debriefing should be respectful, evidence-based, and linked to defined objectives. Instructors should balance self-reflection with specific feedback. Video recordings may be used when appropriate, but confidentiality and learner consent should be protected. Assessment should combine technical and non-technical indicators. Technical assessment may include procedural sequence, economy of movement, tissue handling, instrument use, completion time, and error frequency. Non-technical assessment may include communication, leadership, teamwork, situational awareness, and decision-making. Checklists are useful for determining whether essential steps were completed. Global rating scales can evaluate the overall quality and fluency of performance. Direct observation tools, entrustment scales, digital simulator metrics, and oral reflection may provide complementary evidence. Competence should not be determined by completion of a fixed number of sessions alone. Some learners need more practice than others. A proficiency-based model allows progression after the learner reaches an established standard.

The reviewed evidence suggests that simulation curricula can improve learner confidence and knowledge [1]. However, confidence must not be used as the only outcome. Learners may feel confident without being competent, while capable learners may underestimate their abilities. Objective assessment is therefore necessary. The results demonstrate that simulation can address several limitations of traditional urology education.

It standardizes exposure, supports repeated practice, allows errors to be corrected safely, and provides opportunities to assess performance before patient contact.

The principal educational advantage of simulation is not the reproduction of reality itself. Its value lies in creating focused practice linked to clear objectives and feedback. A simple low-cost model may be more educationally effective than an advanced virtual simulator when it is better aligned with the required skill.

The choice of simulation modality should therefore be based on the learning outcome. Basic catheterization may be taught effectively using an anatomical model. Communication about prostate cancer may require a standardized patient. Ureteroscopy may benefit from virtual reality, while team management of urosepsis may require a high-fidelity clinical scenario. A progressive model for urology education should begin with theoretical preparation. Learners need to understand anatomy, indications, contraindications, complications, equipment, and procedural steps before entering a simulation session. The next stage should involve demonstration by an instructor or high-quality instructional video. The procedure should be divided into observable steps, and common errors should be explained. Learners should then engage in deliberate practice. They should perform the task repeatedly with immediate, specific feedback. Practice should focus on identified weaknesses rather than simple repetition without correction. After reaching the required simulation standard, learners should proceed to supervised clinical performance. Simulation competence does not guarantee independent clinical competence because real patients introduce anatomical variation, emotional factors, comorbidities, unexpected complications, and environmental pressure. Clinical supervisors should therefore confirm that simulated skills transfer safely to patient care. Entrustment should be gradual and based on repeated observation. Simulation should also be longitudinal. A single workshop may produce short-term improvement, but skills can deteriorate without continued use. Refresher sessions, increasing levels of difficulty, and periodic reassessment are needed.

An effective urology simulation curriculum may be organized into progressive levels. Early learners can focus on anatomy, examination, catheterization, and communication. Intermediate learners can practice ultrasonography, cystoscopy, emergency scenarios, and basic laparoscopy. Advanced trainees can undertake complex endourology, robotic simulation, team leadership, complication management, and procedure-specific rehearsal. Faculty development is essential. Clinical expertise does not automatically produce competence in simulation design, facilitation, feedback, or assessment. Teachers should be trained to write scenarios, operate equipment, manage debriefing, apply rating scales, and create psychologically safe learning environments. Curriculum implementation also requires protected time. Simulation often fails when it is treated as an extracurricular activity. Training schedules should include regular skills-laboratory sessions, and faculty participation should be formally recognized. Cost is a major barrier, especially in resource-limited institutions. However, effective simulation does not always require expensive technology. Locally produced models, reusable task trainers, box simulators, video-based cases, peer practice, and shared regional simulation centers can reduce costs. Institutions should prioritize educational need before purchasing equipment. A needs assessment should identify which clinical skills are insufficiently taught, which errors create patient risk, and which procedures can be simulated realistically. Collaboration between departments can also increase sustainability. Urology, surgery, emergency medicine, radiology, anesthesiology, nursing, and biomedical engineering may share facilities, instructors, and technical resources. Three-dimensional printing may support the creation of anatomically accurate models for renal tumors, urinary tract anatomy, and procedure planning. Virtual and augmented reality may improve spatial understanding, particularly in complex reconstructive, oncological, and minimally invasive procedures.

Artificial intelligence may make feedback more objective by analyzing instrument trajectories, procedural sequence, and error patterns. Yet automated assessment should complement rather than replace expert evaluation. Algorithms may not adequately assess judgment, adaptability, empathy, or context. The proposed improved educational model consists of theoretical preparation, demonstration, deliberate simulation practice, structured debriefing, competency-based assessment, supervised patient care, and periodic reassessment. Each stage should be documented in an electronic portfolio. The portfolio may contain completed modules, simulator results, instructor feedback, reflective writing, observed clinical procedures, and entrustment decisions. Such documentation can help learners identify progress and assist programs in evaluating curriculum effectiveness. Program evaluation should include more than learner satisfaction. Institutions should examine knowledge retention, procedural competence, transfer to clinical practice, error rates, patient outcomes, faculty workload, cost-effectiveness, and equity of access.

CONCLUSION

Innovative and simulation-based methods have an important role in modern urology education. They enable learners to acquire technical and non-technical competencies through repeated, structured, and patient-safe practice. Anatomical models, task trainers, virtual reality, standardized patients, clinical scenarios, digital platforms, three-dimensional technologies, and artificial intelligence can support different educational objectives. Their effectiveness depends not only on technological sophistication but also on curriculum alignment, deliberate practice, qualified instruction, structured debriefing, and valid assessment. Simulation is particularly useful for urinary catheterization, ultrasonography, cystoscopy, ureteroscopy, transurethral procedures, laparoscopy, robotic surgery, emergency care, communication, and teamwork. Competency-based progression is preferable to participation based only on time or the number of completed sessions. Simulation should not replace bedside teaching, supervised operations, or direct interaction with patients. It should function as a bridge between theoretical learning and clinical responsibility. Improving simulation-based urology education requires institutional support, trained faculty, protected curriculum time, accessible equipment, objective assessment, and continuous evaluation. When these elements are combined, simulation can improve learner competence, strengthen patient safety, and support the development of confident and responsible urology professionals.

REFERENCES

1. Mucksavage P., et al. Assessment of a novel urology resident simulation-based curriculum // Urology Practice. – 2023.
2. Ahmed K., et al. Framework for incorporating simulation into urology training // BJU International. – 2011. – Vol. 107, No. 5. – P. 806–810.
3. Shamim Khan M., et al. A review of the available urology skills training curricula and their validation // Journal of Surgical Education. – 2014. – Vol. 71, No. 3. – P. 289–296.
4. Schout B.M.A., et al. High-level virtual reality simulator for endourologic procedures // Journal of Endourology. – 2006. – Vol. 20, No. 6. – P. 411–415.
5. Hudak S.J., et al. External validation of a virtual reality transurethral prostate resection simulator // Journal of Urology. – 2010. – Vol. 184, No. 5. – P. 2018–2022.
6. Moglia A., et al. Simulation and training in robot-assisted urological surgery: From basic skills to future perspectives // Journal of Clinical Medicine. – 2024. – Vol. 13.
7. Hung A.J., et al. A multi-institution study on the association of virtual reality skills with live robotic surgical performance // European Urology. – 2023.
8. Brydges R., et al. Competency-based simulation training for procedural skills: A systematic review and meta-analysis // Simulation in Healthcare. – 2025.
9. Sweet R.M., et al. Development and implementation of competency-based assessment for urological ultrasonography // International Brazilian Journal of Urology. – 2021.